OPERABLE UNIT 10-04 PROPOSED PLAN PUBLIC MEETING

FEBRUARY 7, 2002 BOISE, IDAHO

ORIGINAL

Nancy Schwartz Reporting 2421 Anderson Street Boise, Idaho 83702 (208)345-2773

INDEX

PA	AGE
Introduction - Erik Simpson	3
Agency Presentation	
Background - Glenn Nelson, DOE-ID	5
Project Overview - Chris Hiaring, INEEL	14
Risk Assessment - Chris Hiaring, INEEL	-
QUESTION AND ANSWERS	25
OFFICIAL RECORDING OF STATEMENTS - None	

Oporable Chit 10 0.	
ODERABLE HATT 10-04 PRODOCED DIAM	Page 3 1 BOISE, IDAHO, THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2002
OPERABLE UNIT 10-04 PROPOSED PLAN	2
	3 MR. SIMPSON: Welcome. I'm Erik Simpson,
PUBLIC MEETING	4 the community relations plan coordinator for the
	5 INEEL Environmental Restoration Program. And we
FEBRUARY 7, 2002	
	6 will facilitate tonight's meeting.
BOISE, IDAHO	7 Tonight we are here to discuss a proposed
	8 cleanup plan involving Operable Unit 10-04, which
Nancy Schwartz Reporting	9 deals with the remediation of unexploded ordnance,
2421 Anderson Street	10 TNT, and RDX contaminated soils, bullet fragments,
Boise, Idaho 83702	11 and also the proposed plan discusses the results of
(208) 345–2773	12 the INEEL-wide ecological risk assessment.
	I don't know if anybody grabbed an agenda,
	14 but I will go over it briefly. Tonight, first we
	15 will have a presentation. And then we'll have a
	16 question-and-answer session. And since we have such
	17 a small group, I would like to keep this relatively
	18 informal. If you have questions that come up during
	19 the presentation, feel free to stop the presenter
	20 and ask the question. After the presentation, we
<u> </u>	21 will revisit the questions and answers.
	Following the Q&A session, we will have a
	23 short break, and then we will have a time in the
	24 meeting where it's called a formal comment session
	25 where you can make comments for the record,
	Page 4
INDEX	1 officially. We have a court reporter here tonight
	2 who will be recording all portions of this meeting.
PAGE	There are other ways to comment on this
	4 project as well. We've got this form that is on the
Introduction - Erik Simpson 3	5 back of the proposed plan. I also have hard copies
	6 at the back on the table. People can also submit
Agency Presentation	7 electronic comments via the Internet by visiting our
	8 Web Site at INEEL dot gov.
Background - Glenn Nelson, DOE-ID 5	9 I also want to bring this to your
	10 attention. On the back of the agenda, we have an
Project Overview - Chris Hiaring, INEEL 14	11 evaluation form. Please feel free to jot down a few
	12 comments that you have about the format of the
Risk Assessment - Chris Hiaring, INEEL -	13 meeting, about the quality of the presentation,
	14 anything that you like. We will use this as a means
QUESTION AND ANSWERS 25	15 of improving how we do our public involvement in the
	16 future on these public cleanup meetings.
OFFICIAL RECORDING OF STATEMENTS - None	17 Also, we have documents at the back of the
	18 room. We have proposed cleanup plans. And we've
	19 got the Federal Facility Agreement and Consent
	20 Order, which is the legally binding cleanup
	21 agreement between the Department of Energy, the
	22 Environmental Protection Agency, and the Idaho
	23 Department of Environmental Quality, which mandates
	24 the cleanup of the INEEL site.
	We've got the Remedial Investigation

Page 8

6

Page 5

1 Feasibility Study for this project. Not real short

- 2 reading, but if you like, you can look at that
- 3 during the break, certain sections of that document.
- 4 We have some fact sheets. And we've got the EM
- 5 Progress Edition, which is a status report of the
- 6 Environmental Management Program at the INEEL.
- At this time, I would like to introduce the
- 8 presenters, Glenn Nelson. Glenn is with the
- 9 Department of Energy Idaho Operations Office in
- 10 Idaho Falls. And he'll discuss the project
- 11 background of this Operable Unit 10-04 project.
- Then, we have Chris Hiaring. Chris is the 12
- 13 INEEL project manager for the Operable Unit 10-04
- 14 investigation. And she will provide an overview.
- 15 Chris will then play risk-assessment expert tonight,
- 16 where she will discuss the risk assessment that was
- 17 conducted as part of the Remedial Investigation
- 18 Feasibility Study.
- We have Gerry Winter. Gerry is with the 19
- 20 State of Idaho Department of Environmental Quality.
- 21 He will discuss remedial alternatives and summary.
- MR. NELSON: Glenn, we'll start off 22
- 23 tonight. Thank you. Are the front lights dimmable?
- 24 I see a couple dimmers back there. It doesn't make
- 25 the presentation any better. Well, I thought they
- Page 6

- 1 were dimmers. Okay.
- One thing that I don't think Erik 2
- 3 mentioned -- maybe he did and I missed it. I will
- 4 try to pay attention. But, there is a sign-up sheet
- 5 outside, and unless you are here and you are in a
- 6 stealth mode, you may wish to sign that sign-up
- 7 sheet so you will be added to the list of thousands
- 8 of people who get that stuff automatically in their
- 9 mail from the government. That is up to you, I
- 10 guess.
- 11 My name is Glenn Nelson. I'm with
- 12 DOE-Idaho. I am the WAG manager for Waste Area
- 13 Group 2. The document that Erik referred to as the 14 Federal Facilities Agreement and Consent Order is
- 15 this blue-covered document. It just had its tenth
- 16 birthday last month. This document essentially sets
- 17 forth the rules on how DOE, the state of Idaho, and
- 18 the Environmental Protection Agency are going to
- 19 play with each other, so to speak, in the process of
- 20 cleaning up remediating areas at the INEEL.
- It has guidelines in there for reviewing 21
- 22 various documents, contains various milestones by
- 23 which certain activities have to be completed. And
- 24 it also divides the areas at the INEEL that need
- 25 remediation into ten groups. Those are called Waste

- 1 Area Groups.
- Now, the subdivision of a Waste Area Group
- 3 or WAG, as we often call it, is an operable unit.
- WAG would be here. An operable unit would be a
- 5 subdivision of a WAG. Where we are in the overall
- 6 CERCLA process is at this point. We have -- I'm
- going to guess -- 1,200 pages back there in two
- volumes, which make up a document called the
- 9 Remedial Investigation Feasibility Study. The
- 10 essence of that document is distilled into this
- 11 much-more manageable three-dozen-page-long document.
- 12 This is much more digestible.
- 13 At this point, this document has been
- 14 mailed out to thousands of folks. You folks are
- welcome to get a copy tonight if you wish. We are
- at the point of briefing the public and soliciting
- your input on this plan.
- One other thing, before you change that
- 19 slide, what this document does is it contains in it
- a prearranged marriage between Waste Area Group 6
- and Waste Area Group 10. Honestly, I don't know why
- 22 that was so, but their prearranged marriage was set
- 23 forth in this document and agreed to by everybody.
- 24 So, even when we talk about WAG 10, please
- 25 understand that we are talking about the implied
- - 1 joint WAG 6 and WAG 10.
 - Next slide, please. The Waste Area Group
 - 3 included two reactor facilities, both of which had
 - 4 been shut down by the time the FFA/CO Agreement was
 - 5 written. Operable WAG 10 contains two operable
 - 6 units at this point. One is 10-04, the one that we
 - 7 are talking about tonight, and that concerns surface
 - 8 contamination. The other operable unit under WAG10
 - 9 is 10-08. It concerns groundwater under the INEL.
 - 10 Forgive me if I sometimes call INEEL INEL. This is
 - 11 a new name for us, and I have not found the brain
 - 12 cell that contains the new name for it, so I will
 - often, perhaps, call it INEL.
 - Another significant component of Operable
 - 15 Unit 10-04 is that it contains analyses of the risk
 - to ecological receptors across the INEEL.
 - In the Remedial Investigation Feasibility
 - 18 phase, the large two-volume document on the rear
 - 19 table, 50 sites were identified as possibly needing
 - 20 remedial -- well, needing additional remedial
 - investigation. Nine were subsequently identified as
 - 22 absolutely, definitely needing the remediation.
 - 23 The RI/FS, which is the two-volume document
 - 24 back here on the back here on the shelf, was
 - 25 approved by the state of Idaho, the EPA, and the

Page 9

1 Department of Energy. The Shoshone-Bannock Tribes,

2 our neighbor to the south, doesn't actually approve

- 3 the document, but their input was solicited, and
- 4 it's reproduced in full in the document.
- Next slide, please. Waste Area Group 10
- 6 contains about three different types of problems
- 7 that we intend to solve with the soil cleanup. Two
- 8 of these three types of contaminations stem from the
- 9 fact that gun barrels were calibrated and tested
- 10 during World War II. Gun barrels for large naval
- 11 ships were tested at the INEEL. Not all the rounds
- 12 were live, but some of the rounds that were live did
- 13 not detonate on impact like they should have.
- So, the three groups of materials that 14
- 15 we're concerned with in Operable Unit 10-04 -- and I
- 16 will use this visual aid that I brought with me from
- 17 home -- unexploded ordnance, the things that should
- 18 have gone bang but didn't. The contents of
- 19 explosives, military explosives, and, then, finally,
- 20 a third group back when the INEEL had a rather large
- 21 army of 5- or 600 folks about a decade and a half
- 22 ago.
- They spent a lot of money on training, and 23 24 so the area where they predominantly trained has a
- 25 considerable amount of lead and other -- just the
 - - Page 10
- 1 sort of things that you would find in any shooting
- 2 range, only we had people who were shooting 100,000
- 3 rounds per year at that time. So, they were highly
- 4 trained on a wide variety of weapons.
- Concerning WAG 6, this is just a
- 6 description of the two reactor facilities that were
- 7 made part of Waste Area Group 6, and really no work
- 8 is being done at those because they were deactivated
- 9 even before the FFA/CO was written.
- Next slide, please. This is the 10
- 11 show-and-tell portion. This is an aerial view of
- 12 the BORAX facility. As I understand it, it was
- 13 really one reactor building that had several
- 14 different cores in it at different times. Is that
- 15 correct, Tom?
- MR. HANEY: Yes, it is. 16
- MR. NELSON: That means I was listening the 17
- 18 last time that you said that.
- Next slide. This is, I think, the bottom
- 20 end of an artillery shell that is embedded in the
- 21 ground and has rusted open, so that it's
- 22 explosive -- the contents that should have been
- 23 explosive are available to the environment and you
- 24 can see how this material has come out and has
- 25 stained some of the surrounding soil as it has been

- 1 weathered.
- These are chunks of loose explosive
- 3 material that did not explode like they were
- 4 supposed to, primarily either TNT or RDX are the
- 5 common designators.
- Next slide, please. Not a lot of depth in
- 7 this slide, but this is a depth charge that just
- 8 never quite achieved its peak performance. These
- 9 are in as-found condition at the INEEL.
- 10 Next slide, please. These are -- at least
- 11 once upon a time, I don't know how mines are made
- 12 today but 30 or 40 years ago, mines, apparently, had
- 13 three primary constituents. A device like this,
- 14 which was a pressure plate, which then rested on top
- 15 of a fuse, which I guess was the primary detonating
- 16 substance, and then the main charge of the mine
- 17 would be underneath this fuse. So, you are looking
- 18 here at two of the three key components in a fuse.
- 19 Yes, Tom.
- 20 MR. HANEY: You showed me that smaller
- 21 picture earlier. That is actually the entire -- the
- 22 little thing sitting up on the top is the fuse.
- 23 MR. NELSON: Oh, you are changing your
- 24 testimony.
- 25 MR. HANEY: Yeah.

Page 12

- MR. NELSON: This is the fuse. This is the
 - 2 mine.
 - 3 MR. HANEY: Yes, it is.
 - MR. NELSON: These are either two types of
 - 5 pressure plates or just opposite sides of similar
 - 6 ones. You can't judge a pressure plate by its
 - 7 cover.
 - 8 Thank you for the clarification.
 - 9 MR. HANEY: You're welcome.
 - 10 MR. NELSON: These are holes in the ground.
 - 11 These were made -- and I don't know actually when
 - 12 the event occurred, but somebody sitting in here
 - 13 will, when various loose pieces of explosives were
 - 14 collected quite some time ago and were placed on a
 - 15 railcar with the idea being that we would detonate
 - 16 them all, and we would get rid of them. And that
 - 17 worked for some of them, but not all of them.
 - So, one of the net effects was a big bang, 18
 - 19 but also some loose pieces of unexploded ordnance
 - 20 going out in various directions. So, we will have a
 - 21 different cleanup approach the second time to make
 - 22 sure that we truly dispose of these aggravatingly
 - 23 difficult-to-explode items. Those are craters that
 - 24 were created during various prior cleanup attempts.
 - 25 as I understand things.

Page 13

1 Next slide. Here are some folks that don't

2 have their ducks in a row, but certainly have their 3 shells in a row. This is a piece of angle iron that

4 contains either Primacord or some sort of detonating

5 compound, maybe C4 explosive, but the idea is that

6 these items once found were neatly arranged and then

7 the strip above them was detonated to kind of slice

8 them in half. If anyone wanted to sympathetically

9 detonate, then they had an opportunity to do that.

Next slide. This is the gun range where 10 11 our army was once trained, or at least the INEEL

12 Army. They would put up a genuine paper terrorist

13 target here and then shoot from varying distances.

14 And then this was also a house that I think they

15 used for drinking a soda or maybe practicing house

16 clearings and things like that.

17 There are tons, I think, about 70 tons of

18 heavy metal not of the type that they made CDs of

19 but of the type that you make bullets of. They are

20 buried in that area.

21 The actions that have taken place, the

22 BORAX buried reactor has been capped with a cap that

23 is -- by cap, we don't mean felt or anything like

24 that, but with large rocks and multiple layers of

25 different kinds of soils to make it a very

1 identified. Exposure pathways are the different

2 routes where contamination may either enter the body

3 or affect the body.

The normal pathways used for risk

5 assessments look at dermal or skin exposure,

6 ingestion of both soil and groundwater and skin

7 contact to the same water. Then, we have to

8 identify what receptors we will use in the model.

They will be either plant or animal. And OU 10-04

10 used both plant and animal in their risk

11 assessments. Then, the risk from the contaminants

12 of concern using the pathways identified to the

receptors are then characterized.

The human-health scenario for the 50 sites

15 identified in the Operable Unit 10-04, several

16 risk-assessment scenarios were performed for human

health. One risk scenario evaluated the risk to a

18 worker who is working at the INEEL today. Another

19 risk scenario evaluated a worker who would begin

20 working 100 years in the future. Another scenario

21 performed was a residential scenario. This is

22 usually considered the most conservative scenario.

23 This scenario identifies potential risk to a

24 resident who begins living at one of these 50 sites

25 100 years in the future.

Page 14

1 unattractive place where you go for a squirrel to

2 dig a hole in the ground. It's a large no-vacancy

3 sign hung out over the desert that says go somewhere

4 else to do your prowling, plus there is signs for

5 human beings also.

A Record of Decision, or a ROD, we have 7 cleaned up about a half dozen sites, also had four

8 removal actions addressing multiple ordnance sites. Next slide, please. This slide I have been

10 waiting for. Chris is my counterpart. She is

11 smarter than I am and prettier than I am, and I will

12 move slides while she charges through this next

13 section.

14 MS. HIARING: Since I have been assigned 15 the risk-assessment portion, our EPA counterpart,

16 who would normally be giving this, could not make it

17 down to Boise, so I was nominated.

18 First, I will be discussing a little bit 19 about the risk-assessment process under CERCLA and

20 how it is applied at the INEEL.

21 This slide shows the four major elements

22 of the risk-assessment process. First, the

23 contaminants of concern must be identified such as

24 what contaminants are there, and if so, under what

25 concentration. Then, exposure pathways must be

Page 16

The occupational scenario takes into

2 account that a worker will be exposed to one of the

3 50 contaminated sites for eight hours a day. The

4 worker would work 250 days a year and worked for

5 25 years. The primary pathways of concern turned

6 out to be ingestion of soil and dermal absorption.

This graphic illustration of the different

pathways that are evaluated in the future

residential scenario. This scenario evaluates the

risk to an individual who in 100 years built a house

11 on one of the 50 contaminated sites, lives at the

12 house for 30 years, and is exposed to the area for

13 24 hours a day for 350 days a year. The results

14 identified that the main pathways of concern for the

15 future resident were either homegrown produce,

drinking groundwater, and skin or dermal absorption.

This busy slide kind of explains the EPA

18 guidance and regulations that are generally used for

cleanup decisions. They usually correspond to an

20 excess cancer risk of 1 in 10,000 to 1 in a million,

21 meaning there may be a potential for one additional

22 person to get cancer exposed to the area for

23 24 hours a day for 350 days for the same 30 years.

Now, the hazard index measures potential 24

25 adverse health effects other than cancer. This

Page 20

Page 17

1 evaluates impacts to especially sensitive residents, 2 such as children and the elderly. Remediation is

3 considered when the hazard index is greater than 1.

As was previously mentioned, the Operable 5 Unit 10-04 investigation evaluated 50 sites and 6 using the risk-assessment process shown on the

7 previous slides determined that at nine sites

8 contamination poses unacceptable risk to human

9 health that must be remediated.

The nine sites we grouped -- they were 11 grouped for assessment and remediation based on 12 their contamination types. There were five TNT RDX 13 sites. These are contaminated by chemical compounds

14 remaining from military ordnances testing and the

15 STF Gun Range -- well, it's not grouped.

This figure shows a relationship of the TNT 17 RDF sites. I don't know if many of you are

18 familiar. This is map of the whole INEEL. This

19 shows just a small portion. This is the Gun Range.

20 At this location in the forties, they would shoot

21 off towards this direction. And these are the TNT

22 RDX soil sites. They are all located within this

23 area, within the Gun Range.

At the nine sites, these five contaminants 24 25 were identified as the contaminants that posed

1 future resident. As you can see, all five sites

2 fell above the acceptable risk range, which means

3 that they needed to be cleaned up.

And as I mentioned before, because lead

5 levels in the soil at the STF Gun Range were high

6 and the area was so small, the calculation of risk

7 was not necessary. The site went straight into the

8 cleanup group category. Also, risk cannot be

calculated for unexploded ordnance.

Now, I would like to explain a little on 10 11 the ecological risk performed on the 50 10-04 sites.

12 Okay. An ecological-risk assessment evaluates

13 possible impacts to plants and animals. The

14 ecological-risk assessment performed for the

15 50 sites in OU 10-04 evaluated risks to mammals,

16 birds, insects, plants, reptiles, amphibians. An

17 individual species that could be found at the INEEL

18 was chosen to study. This individual species would

19 represent the entire group of species that it

20 belonged to.

21 As with human health, the contaminants went

22 through a screening process. An assumption used in

23 the ecological-risk assessment is that the plant or

24 animal would inhabit the contaminated area

25 100 percent of the time.

Page 18

Ecological-risk estimates were developed

2 for these species. Hazard quotients are then

3 developed for plants and animals. This is a ratio

4 between a reference dose and a toxicity value.

This slide gives the results of

6 ecological-risk assessment. As you can see, none of

7 these sites are within the acceptable-risk range for

8 ecological receptors. Unexploded ordnance does not

9 pose a risk to ecological-risk receptors.

I would like to introduce Gerry Winter, who 10

11 is with the state of Idaho.

MR. WINTER: Thank you, Chris. I'm glad

13 that you came to the public hearing this evening.

14 The Ecological Risk Assessment was a pretty

15 complicated and long-term effort under WAG 10

16 OU 10-04. We have two-risk assessment people here

17 from the Department of Energy that if you have any

18 specific questions that you wanted to ask, they can

19 help you.

In this graphic, which is much more

21 pleasing than this graphic, gives you probably an

22 easier approach toward trying to understand the

23 process that was used for Ecological Risk

24 Assessment.

25

We have to follow the remedial-action

1 either ecological risk or both human health and 2 ecological risk. UXO, TNT, 246 dinitrotoluene is 3 the most common explosive used in military 4 ammunition. RDX is also commonly used in military 5 ammunition and is actually known to be more powerful 6 than TNT. Dinitrobenzene is a compound associated 7 with TNT, an unexploded ordnance. They are military 8 munitions that have been primed, armed, or fused and 9 fired, dropped, or launched but have failed to 10 explode either on purpose or by design.

Lead is an element that causes severe 12 damage to the nervous system, kidney, and immune

13 systems especially in children. This slide gives the results of the 15 human-health carcinogenic evaluation to the 16 100-year-future resident. Remember, this resident 17 is exposed in 100 years, builds a house, lives there 18 for 30 years, lives in a house for 24 hours a day. 19 All four of the sites fell above the acceptable risk 20 range as given in the EPA guidance. One fell below, 21 and the STF Gun Range was not calculated because the 22 concentrations were very high and it was such a

24 This slide gives the results of the 25 noncarcinogenic human-health evaluation to the

23 small area.

1 objectives, and there are three things to recall

- 2 from this slide as we look at the next slide as to
- 3 what we were trying to do under 10-04. That is,
- 4 reduce the risk to humans and ecological receptors,
- 5 mainly to the TNT, RDX, lead, and unexploded
- 6 ordnance.
- We follow the evaluation criteria under
- 8 CERCLA that includes threshold-balancing criteria
- 9 and modifying criteria. What is important at this
- 10 point is to note that the modifying criteria
- 11 includes community acceptance of what we are
- 12 proposing as cleanup alternatives. And this is your
- 13 time, your opportunity, to provide input on these
- 14 alternatives for remedial action.
- The TNT RDX contaminator is a result -- the 15
- 16 evaluation of these areas resulted in these
- 17 alternatives, which were required to look at
- 18 No Action alternative under any scenario as a basis
- 19 for comparison. Our preferred alternative is 3A,
- 20 which includes removal of the contaminated soils.
- 21 treatment, on-site disposal of the soil, and
- 22 institutional controls.
- 23 Alternative 3B included removal, treatment
- 24 but off-site disposal of the soil, and still
- 25 institutional controls. Alternative 4A is removal,

 - Page 22 1 incineration off site, off-site disposal of the
 - 2 soil, and institutional controls. And our last
- 3 alternative is removal, composting of the soil, and
- 4 then returning the composted soil to the excavated
- 5 areas, and institutional controls. The preferred
- 6 alternative results in conducting a visual survey
- 7 for the TNT RDX fragments excavating the soil.
- 8 removing the lumps of TNT RDX, detonating these
- 9 lumps of TNT and RDX, and then disposing of the
- 10 waste -- or waste will be disposed on site pending
- 11 receipt of public input, and then we would backfill
- 12 and revegetate the excavations and, again,
- 13 institutional controls.
- The ordnance areas have resulted in three 14
- 15 alternatives, again, the No Action that we are
- 16 required to look at; Alternative 2, which is Limited
- 17 Action and Institutional Controls. It would be,
- 18 basically, what goes on now. There is an activity
- 19 planned. There would be a survey and clearance done
- 20 as needed. Alternative 3 is much more extensive.
- 21 As you can observe from the estimated cost, it
- 22 includes detection, removal, and institutional
- 23 controls.
- 24 One of the goals is to evaluate new
- 25 technologies. The technologies for ordnance

- Page 23 1 detection are rapidly evolving. They tend to focus
 - 2 on ferrous metal being coincident with explosives.
 - 3 We would select an appropriate site-specific
 - 4 technology, then conduct a survey to try to define

 - 5 the extent and boundaries of the firing fan in the
 - bombing ranges with more than one bombing range.
 - We would log the locations of the probable
 - ordnance, confirm those locations, and clear as
 - appropriate, backfill, and revegetate, and, again,
 - institutional controls are required.
 - The Gun Range Area is much smaller than any 11
 - 12 of the other sites. We have three alternatives,
 - again: the No Action; the Preferred Alternative
 - 14 includes removal, treatment, and disposal; and
 - 15 Alternative 3B says the same thing -- removal,
 - 16 treatment; and return is the difference. The soil

 - will be treated and returned to the site.
 - Next slide. The Preferred Alternative
 - 19 includes evaluating the berms for the contaminated
 - soils, mechanically screening the soils so we can
 - 21 remove the lead and the casings, which will be sent
 - 22 off for recycling. The soils would be sampled.
 - 23 Those that are clean enough that can be returned to
 - 24 the excavation would be returned. Those that are
 - 25 above the remediation goals would be disposed of at

Page 24

- 1 a site depending on input from the public, then
- 2 contour and revegetate.
- Then, the important part to note on our
- 4 schedule is where we are now. This schedule calls
- 5 for a draft ROD April 1st of this year, a scope of
- 6 work in September, a work plan in February of 2003,
- 7 and to begin remedial action in October 2003.
- Ecological Risk Assessment, as I pointed
- out, was quite involved in quite a bit of time.
- 10 You can get specific details from the two
- 11 risk-assessment people that are here. We looked at
- 12 a lot of iota across the site and included
- 13 evaluation of individual ecological risk assessments
- 14 at the individual WAG.
- Next slide. One of the important things to 15
- 16 note, I think, is the percentage of the areas of
- INEEL that are impacted by these areas of
- contamination. They are quite low. We were talking
- 19 about a 890-square-mile site, and a whole ordnance
- 20 area is roughly 325 square miles. When you get down
- 21 to the area that we are talking about it's a very
- 22 small percentage.
- 23 Because of the uncertainties and the
- 24 assumptions that were required for the Ecological
- 25 Risk Assessment, it was deemed important to do

Page 25

1 ecological monitoring, which would be focused and

- 2 will become part of the long-term stewardship
- 3 program for the INEEL. The plan will be developed
- 4 this summer.
- 5 In summary, there is 50 potential release
- 6 sites. Nine sites pose an unacceptable risk. The
- 7 ERA indicated minimal risk to the populations. The
- 8 Preferred Alternative is estimated to cost a
- 9 combined \$24 million.
- 10 Thank you. Any questions?
- 11 AUDIENCE MEMBER: The RDX area, you
- 12 mentioned you were going to collect the TNT and blow
- 13 it up. Is there an alternative innovative
- 14 technology acceptable rather than open-air
- 15 detonation?
- 16 MR. WINTER: One of the things that was
- 17 discussed recently was a proposal to test
- 18 implosion -- what would you call it, an enclosed
- 19 implosion technology, but that came about after we
- 20 already had a proposed plan out the door.
- 21 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Would you entertain
- 22 innovative alternative approaches for the
- 23 destruction of it?
- 24 MR. WINTER: I'd certainly submit any
- 25 comments or any suggestions that you would have for

- 1 limitations on the ability of the technologies, the
 - 2 removal actions in the past have been -- I don't
 - 3 believe an excess of 2 foot in depth and some have
 - 4 been surface removals. And at least in my way not
 - 5 comprehensive like around railcar explosion.
 - 6 AUDIENCE MEMBER: So, the institutional
 - 7 controls would be an effort to keep people from
 - 8 residing there?
 - 9 MR. WINTER: That could be one result. It
 - 10 could limit construction or manufacturing, which is
 - 11 what is currently out there now. If there is going
 - 12 to be an action undertaken, they do an ordnance
 - 13 survey and removal where they find ordnance. It
 - 14 happened, I believe, last year when they were
 - 15 installing waste-water discharge lines from INTEC to
 - 16 perc, they found an artillery shell that hadn't been
 - 17 found before.
 - AUDIENCE MEMBER: Did that cover on-site
 - 19 disposal?

18

- 20 MR. WINTER: On-site disposal is within the
- 21 boundaries of the INEEL.
- 22 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Are you talking about the
- 23 soil, though?
- 24 MR. WINTER: I don't think it has been
- 25 decided yet. One of the options is CFA if it would

Page 26

Page 28

- 1 that. That is part of this public acceptance of the
- 2 proposals is if there is a better mousetrap, tell us
- 3 about it. It will be considered in -- it's called a
- 4 Responsiveness Summary.
- 5 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Would you comment further
- 6 on what you mean by institutional controls at
- 7 on-site disposal?
- 8 MR. WINTER: Institutional controls is
- 9 typically thought of in terms of deed restrictions.
- 10 There is some arguments as to whether signs or
- 11 signage is considered an institutional control but
- 12 fell under that category. The institutional
- 13 controls are deemed to be needed because of the less
- 14 than 100 percent efficiency of most of the detection
- 15 technologies for ordnance. If there isn't ferrous
- 16 metal associated with most of these technologies,
- 17 you can't find the ordnance. A sympathetic
- 18 detonation test going on out there is scattered, as
- 19 you saw some of the pictures, just chunks of TNT and
- 20 RDX. So, there is no ferrous metal associated with
- 21 some of that ordnance.
- 22 MS. HIARING: Going out there and
- 23 monitoring the area or different things that
- 24 brought it up over time.
- MR. WINTER: So, there is definite

- 1 meet the waste-acceptance criteria there. Another
- 2 one considered in the RI/FS was the ICDF, again, if
- 3 the waste-acceptance criteria would accept it. I
- 4 think those are the only two that are discussed in
- 5 RI/FS on site.
- 6 AUDIENCE MEMBER: When I say the "soil
- 7 dump," I'm talking about the ICDF. What other areas
- 8 are you talking about?that means. The Central
- 9 Facilities Area Landfill off site is off the INEEL.
- 10 And there are two different locations mentioned
- 11 there depending on whether it was soils or ordnance
- 12 -- contaminated soils or ordnance.
- 13 AUDIENCE MEMBER: What other off sites have
- 14 been accepted?
- 15 MR. WINTER: I don't remember the names.
- 16 One is near Arlington, Oregon. Chemical Waste
- 17 Management is the other one.
- 18 MS. HIARING: And a place in Oregon, but
- 19 they are just suggested. It hadn't been decided.
- 20 MR. WINTER: They have been suggested.
- 21 AUDIENCE MEMBER: You mentioned the
- 22 Shoshone-Bannock Tribe have a major input on this.
- 23 What is their role?
- MR. WINTER: Glenn, maybe you would be
- 25 best to answer that since you had more direct

Page 29 Page 31 1 involvement. 1 Advisory Board. By policy, the DOE grants that 2 MR. NELSON: I had more direct, but very 2 extension request. Any other questions? 3 little. I would say that the government is doing Thanks, Gerry. I guess at this time I'll 4 its best to respect the cultural values of the 4 skip the break. If anyone would like to make 5 Shoshone-Bannock Tribe. We fund to the tune of, I 5 official comments for the record on this proposed 6 think, approximately \$650,000 a year. We fund cleanup plan, now is the time to do it. We have, 7 various members of the tribe, so that we have an 7 as I mentioned earlier, a court reporter, who will 8 existing group of folks chosen by the tribes to be 8 record any comments we get verbatim. So, if you do 9 the single point of contact with the Department of 9 have any comments for the record, please clearly 10 Energy. speak your name and give your address, and we will 11 So, we strive to be good neighbors, and we 11 send you a copy of the Record of Decision, which is 12 strive to involve them in the review of -- not just scheduled to be signed this year. So, does anybody 13 in review of the documents, but we strive to get want to make any formal comments? 14 their input and to act on it where we are able too. Okay. With that, we'll stick around for a 14 15 They have different cultural values than a lot of 15 little while after the meeting. If you have any 16 us, but we are doing our best to be sensitive to questions, feel free to grab one of us or the 17 them. That is about the best I can tell you. 17 project managers who are here. MR. WINTER: I think, maybe, one other 18 18 With that, thank you for coming. We look 19 thing to add is that they are not in favor of the forward to seeing you again at one of our cleanup 20 quantitative-risk-assessment approach that is used 20 meetings in the near future. 21 under CERCLA. They take a much more holistic view 21 (Meeting adjourned.) 22 as they determine, including visual, the land, and 22 23 the biota on the land. It's not easily quantified 23 24 the way they look at it compared to the way that we 24 25 look at it under CERCLA. They have a summary that 25 Page 32 Page 30 STATE OF IDAHO) Ss. 1 is in the RI/FS, as Glenn mentioned, Appendix A. AUDIENCE MEMBER: When the lead soil --County of Ada 2) 3 once you remove the lead out of the soil, have they I, NANCY SCHWARTZ, Certified Court Reporter 4 done any stabilization of soils successfully out 5 there at INEEL before? No. 483 and Notary Public in and for the State of Idaho, do hereby certify: 6 MR. WINTER: For lead, this is the first That said hearing was taken down by me in 7 one that I'm aware of. 8 Robin, do you know if they have done any shorthand at the time and place therein named and thereafter reduced to computer type, and that the others? 9 MS. HIARING: To date, they have sent it foregoing transcript contains a true and correct 10 11 off site to be stabilized. record of the said hearing, all done to the best of MS. VANHORN: They are proposing to be able my skill and ability. 12 I further certify that I have no interest 13 to do that at ICDF. 13 MR. WINTER: Any other questions? in the event of the action. 14 AUDIENCE MEMBER: There was slide that had WITNESS my hand and seal this 25th day 15 15 16 dates that we went through very quickly. Can we see of March, 2002. 16 17 that slide, or is it in here? 17 MS. HIARING: It's in a copy of the 18 18 Nancy Schwartz, Notary 19 handout, a copy of the slide. 19 Public in and for the State of Idaho MR. WINTER: Erik, is it worthwhile to 20 20 21 point out that an extension has been requested by 21 22 the Citizens' Advisory Board? 23 MR. SIMPSON: Yes, the original 30-day 23 March 19, 2007 24 comment period extension has been extended to end on 24

25

25 March 29th. That request came from the Citizens'

1	STATE OF IDAHO)
2) Ss. County of Ada)
3	
4	I, NANCY SCHWARTZ, Certified Court Reporter
5	No. 483 and Notary Public in and for the State of
6	Idaho, do hereby certify:
7	That said hearing was taken down by me in
8	shorthand at the time and place therein named and
9	thereafter reduced to computer type, and that the
10	foregoing transcript contains a true and correct
11	record of the said hearing, all done to the best of
12	my skill and ability.
13	I further certify that I have no interest
14	in the event of the action.
1.5	WITNESS my hand and seal this 25th day
16	of March, 2002.
17	
18	Nancy Schwartz Notary
19	Naney Schwartz Notary Public in and for the
20	State of Idaho
21	
22	My commission expires:
23	My commission expires: March 19, 2007
2 4	* AUBLIC O
25	My commission expires: March 19, 2007

•			February '	7, 2002, Boise, Idaho
		6:14 8:4	backfill [2] 22:11 23:9	capped [1] 13:22
-\$-	-6-	aid [1] 9:16	background [2] 2:5 5:11	carcinogenic [1] 18:15
\$24 [1] 25:9	6 [5] 7:20 8:1,2 10:5,7	alternative [14] 21:18	bang [2] 9:18 12:18	casings [1] 23:21
\$650,000 _[1] 29:6	600 [1] 9:21	21:19,23,25 22:3,6,16,20	barrels [2] 9:9,10	category [2] 19:8 26:12
3050,000 [1] 29.0	000[1] >.21	23:13,15,18 25:8,13,22	based [1] 17:11	causes [1] 18:11
-1-	-7-	alternatives [6] 5:21	basis [1] 21:18	CDs [1] 13:18
		21:12,14,17 22:15 23:12 ammunition [2] 18:4,5	become [1] 25:2	cell [1] 8:12
1 [3] 16:20,20 17:3	7 [2] 1:3 3:1	amount [1] 9:25	begin [2] 15:19 24:7	Central [1] 28:8
1,200 [1] 7:7	70 [1] 13:17	amphibians [1] 19:16	begins [1] 15:24	CERCLA [5] 7:6 14:19
10 [7] 7:21,24 8:1,5,8 9:5 20:15	-8-	= "	beings [1] 14:5	21:8 29:21,25
10,000 [1] 16:20	·	analyses [1] 8:15 Anderson [1] 1:6	belonged [1] 19:20	certain [2] 5:3 6:23
10-04 [14] 1:1 3:8 5:11	83702 [1] 1:7	angle [1] 13:3	below [1] 18:20	certainly [2] 13:2 25:24
5:13 8:6,15 9:15 15:9,15	890-square-mile [1]	animal [3] 15:9,10 19:24	berms [1] 23:19	Certified [1] 32:4
17:5 19:11,15 20:16 21:3	1 24:19	animals [2] 19:13 20:3	best [5] 28:25 29:4,16,17	certify [2] 32:6,13
10-08 [1] 8:9	-A-	answer [1] 28:25	32:11	CFA [1] 27:25
100 [6] 15:20,25 16:10		answers [2] 2:8 3:21	better [2] 5:25 26:2	change [1] 7:18
18:17 19:25 26:14	ability [2] 27:1 32:12	Appendix [1] 30:1	between [3] 4:21 7:20	changing [1] 11:23
100,000 [1] 10:2	able [2] 29:14 30:12	applied [1] 14:20	20:4	characterized [1] 15:13
100-year-future[1]	above [4] 13:7 18:19 19:2		big [1] 12:18	charge [2] 11:7,16
18:16	23:25 absolutely [1] 8:22	approach [3] 12:21 20:22 29:20	binding [1] 4:20	charges [1] 14:12
14 [1] 2:6		approaches [1] 25:22	biota [1] 29:23	chemical [2] 17:13 28:16
19[1] 32:23	absorption [2] 16:6,16	appropriate [2] 23:3,9	birds [1] 19:16	children [2] 17:2 18:13
1st[1] 24:5	accept[1] 28:3 acceptable[3] 18:19	approve [1] 9:2	birthday [1] 6:16	chosen [2] 19:18 29:8
-2-	19:2 25:14	approved [1] 8:25	bit [2] 14:18 24:9	Chris [7] 2:6,7 5:12,12
	acceptable-risk[1]	April [1] 24:5	blow[1] 25:12	5:15 14:10 20:12
2 [3] 6:13 22:16 27:3	20:7	area [22] 6:12 7:1,2,20,21	blue-covered [1] 6:15	chunks [2] 11:2 26:19
2002 [3] 1:3 3:1 32:16	acceptance [2] 21:11	8:2 9:5,24 10:7 13:20	Board [2] 30:22 31:1	Citizens' [2] 30:22,25
2003 [2] 24:6,7	26:1	16:12,22 17:23 18:23 19:6	body [2] 15:2,3	clarification [1] 12:8
2007 [1] 32:23	accepted [1] 28:14	19:24 23:11 24:20,21	Boise [4] 1:4,7 3:1 14:17	clean [1] 23:23
208 [1] 1:8	account[1] 16:2	25:11 26:23 28:9 areas [8] 6:20,24 21:16	bombing [2] 23:6,6	cleaned [2] 14:7 19:3
24 [3] 16:13,23 18:18	achieved [1] 11:8	22:5,14 24:16,17 28:7	BORAX [2] 10:12 13:22	cleaning [1] 6:20
2421 [1] 1:6	act[1] 29:14	arguments [1] 26:10	bottom[1] 10:19	cleanup [13] 3:8 4:16,18 4:20,24 9:7 12:21,24
246 [1] 18:2	action [8] 21:14,18 22:15	Arlington [1] 28:16	boundaries [2] 23:5 27:21	16:19 19:8 21:12 31:6,19
25 [2] 2:8 16:5	22:17 23:13 24:7 27:12 32:14	armed [1] 18:8	brain [1] 8:11	clear[1] 23:8
250 [1] 16:4	actions [3] 13:21 14:8	army [3] 9:21 13:11,12	break [3] 3:23 5:3 31:4	clearance [1] 22:19
25th [1] 32:15	27:2	arranged[1] 13:6	briefing [1] 7:16	clearings [1] 13:16
29th [1] 30:25	activities [1] 6:23	artillery [2] 10:20 27:16	briefly [1] 3:14	clearly [1] 31:9
	activity [1] 22:18	as-found[1] 11:9	bring [1] 4:9	coincident [1] 23:2
	Ada [1] 32:2	assessment [13] 2:7 3:12	brought [2] 9:16 26:24	collect [1] 25:12
3 [2] 2:3 22:20	add [1] 29:19	5:16 17:11 19:12,14,23	building [1] 10:13	collected [1] 12:14
30 [4] 11:12 16:12,23	added [1] 6:7	20:6,14,16,24 24:8,25	builds [1] 18:17	combined [1] 25:9
18:18	additional [2] 8:20	assessments [3] 15:5	built [1] 16:10	coming [1] 31:18
30-day [1] 30:23	16:21	15:11 24:13	bullet [1] 3:10	comment [4] 3:24 4:3
325 [1] 24:20	address [1] 31:10	assigned [1] 14:14	bullets [1] 13:19	26:5 30:24
345-2773 [1] 1:8	addressing [1] 14:8	associated [3] 18:6 26:16,20	buried [2] 13:20,22	comments [8] 3:25 4:7
350 [2] 16:13,23	adjourned[1] 31:21	assumption [1] 19:22	busy [1] 16:17	4:12 25:25 31:5,8,9,13
3A [1] 21:19	adverse [1] 16:25	assumptions [1] 24:24	Ousy [1] 10.17	commission [1] 32:23
3B [2] 21:23 23:15	Advisory [2] 30:22 31:1	attempts [1] 12:24	-C-	common [2] 11:5 18:3
	aerial[1] 10:11	attention [2] 4:10 6:4		commonly [1] 18:4
-4-	affect [1] 15:3	AUDIENCE [11] 25:11	C4 [1] 13:5	community [2] 3:4
40 [1] 11:12	again [6] 22:12,15 23:9	25:21 26:5 27:6,18,22	calculated [2] 18:21	21:11
483 [1] 32:5	23:13 28:2 31:19	28:6,13,21 30:2,15	calculation [1] 19:6	compared [1] 29:24
4A [1] 21:25	Agency [3] 2:4 4:22 6:18	automatically [1] 6:8	calibrated [1] 9:9	comparison [1] 21:19
	agenda [2] 3:13 4:10	available [1] 10:23	calls [1] 24:4	completed [1] 6:23
	aggravatingly[1] 12:22	aware [1] 30:7	cancer [3] 16:20,22,25	complicated [1] 20:15
5 [2] 2:5 9:21	ago [3] 9:22 11:12 12:14		cannot [1] 19:8	component [1] 8:14
50 [9] 8:19 15:14,24 16:3	agreed [1] 7:23	-B-	cap [2] 13:22,23	components [1] 11:18
16:11 17:5 19:11,15 25:5	agreement [4] 4:19,21		P (-)	composted [1] 22:4

composting [1] 22:3 compound [2] 13:5 18:6 compounds [1] 17:13 comprehensive [1] 27:5 computer [1] 32:9 concentration (1) 14:25 concentrations [1] 18:22 concern [4] 14:23 15:12 16:5,14 concerned [1] 9:15 Concerning [1] 10:5 concerns [2] 8:7,9 condition m 11:9 **conduct** [1] 23:4 conducted [1] 5:17 conducting [1] 22:6 confirm [1] 23:8 Consent [2] 4:19 6:14 conservative [1] 15:22 considerable [1] 9:25 considered [5] 15:22 17:3 26:3.11 28:2 constituents [1] 11:13 construction [1] 27:10 contact [2] 15:7 29:9 contains [8] 6:22 7:19 8:5,12,15 9:6 13:4 32:10 contaminants [6] 14:23 14:24 15:11 17:24,25 19:21 contaminated 181 3:10 16:3,11 17:13 19:24 21:20 destruction [1] 25:23 23:19 28:12 contamination [5] 8:8 15:2 17:8,12 24:18 contaminations [1] 9:8 contaminator[1] 21:15 contents [2] 9:18 10:22 contour[1] 24:2 control [1] 26:11 controls [12] 21:22,25 22:2,5,13,17,23 23:10 26:6,8,13 27:7 coordinator[1] 3:4 copies [1] 4:5 copy [4] 7:15 30:18,19 31:11 cores [1] 10:14 correct [2] 10:15 32:10 correspond[1] 16:19 cost [2] 22:21 25:8 counterpart [2] 14:10 14:15 County [1] 32:2 couple [1] 5:24 court [3] 4:1 31:7 32:4 cover [2] 12:7 27:18 craters [1] 12:23 created [1] 12:24

criteria [6] 21:7,8,9,10 28:1.3 cultural [2] 29:4,15 -D-

D f11 2:1 damage [1] 18:12 **date** [1] 30:10 dates [1] 30:16 days [3] 16:4,13,23 deactivated [1] 10:8 deals [1] 3:9 decade [1] 9:21 decided 121 27:25 28:19 Decision [2] 14:6 31:11 decisions (1) 16:19 deed [1] 26:9 deemed [2] 24:25 26:13 define [1] 23:4 **definite** [1] 26:25 definitely [1] 8:22 Department [7] 4:21,23 5:9,20 9:1 20:17 29:9 depending [2] 24:1 **depth** [3] 11:6,7 27:3 dermal [3] 15:5 16:6,16 description [1] 10:6 desert m 14:3 design [1] 18:10 designators [1] 11:5 details [1] 24:10 detection [3] 22:22 23:1 26:14 determine [1] 29:22 determined [1] 17:7 detonate [3] 9:13 12:15 13:9 detonated [1] 13:7 detonating [3] 11:15 13:4 22:8 detonation [2] 25:15 26:18 developed [3] 20:1,3 25:3 device [1] 11:13 difference [1] 23:16

different [10] 9:6 10:14

10:14 12:21 13:25 15:1

16:7 26:23 28:10 29:15

difficult-to-explode

digestible [1] 7:12

dimmable [1] 5:23

dimmers [2] 5:24 6:1

Dinitrobenzene [1]

dinitrotoluene [1] 18:2

[1] 12:23

dig [1] 14:2

18:6

direct [2] 28:25 29:2 direction [1] 17:21 directions [1] 12:20 discharge [1] 27:15 discuss [4] 3:7 5:10,16 5:21 discussed [2] 25:17 28:4 discusses [1] 3:11 discussing [1] 14:18 disposal [7] 21:21,24 22:1 23:14 26:7 27:19,20 dispose [1] 12:22 disposed [2] 22:10 23:25 **disposing** [1] 22:9 **distances** [1] 13:13 distilled 111 7:10 divides [1] 6:24 document [14] 5:3 6:13 6:15,16 7:8,10,11,13,19 7:23 8:18,23 9:3,4 documents [3] 4:17 6:22 29:13 DOE [2] 6:17 31:1 DOE-ID [1] 2:5 **DOE-Idaho** r11 6:12 doesn't [2] 5:24 9:2 done [5] 10:8 22:19 30:4 30:8 32:11 door [1] 25:20 dose [1] 20:4 dot [1] 4:8 down [5] 4:11 8:4 14:17 24:20 32:7 dozen [1] 14:7 **draft**[1] 24:5 drinking [2] 13:15 16:16 dropped [1] 18:9 ducks [1] 13:2 dump [1] 28:7 during [4] 3:18 5:3 9:10 12:24

-E-Em 2:1 easier [1] 20:22 easily [1] 29:23 18:1,2 19:11 20:8,14,23 21:4 24:8,13,24 25:1 ecological-risk [6] 19:12,14,23 20:1,6,9 Edition (1) 5:5 effects [2] 12:18 16:25 efficiency rr 26:14 effort [2] 20:15 27:7 **cight** [1] 16:3 either [8] 11:4 12:4 13:4 15:2,9 16:15 18:1,10 elderly [1] 17:2 electronic [1] 4:7

element (1) 18:11 elements [1] 14:21 EM [1] 5:4 **embedded** [1] 10:20 enclosed [1] 25:18 end [2] 10:20 30:24 Energy [5] 4:21 5:9 9:1 20:17 29:10 enter [1] 15:2 entertain [1] 25:21 entire [2] 11:21 19:19 environment [1] 10:23 Environmental [6] 3:5 4:22,23 5:6,20 6:18 EPA [4] 8:25 14:15 16:17 18:20 ERA [1] 25:7 Erik [5] 2:3 3:3 6:2,13 30:20 especially [2] 17:1 18:13 essence [1] 7:10 essentially [1] 6:16 estimated [2] 22:21 25:8 estimates [1] 20:1 evaluate [1] 22:24 evaluated [5] 15:17,19 16:8 17:5 19:15 evaluates [3] 16:9 17:1 19:12 evaluating [1] 23:19 evaluation [6] 4:11 18:15,25 21:7,16 24:13 evening[1] 20:13 event [2] 12:12 32:14 everybody [1] 7:23 evolving [1] 23:1 excavated [1] 22:4 excavating [1] 22:7 excavation [1] 23:24 excavations [1] 22:12 excess [2] 16:20 27:3 existing [1] 29:8 expert [1] 5:15 expires [1] 32:23 explain [11 19:10 explains [1] 16:17 ecological [13] 3:12 8:16 explode [2] 11:3 18:10 explosion [1] 27:5 explosive [5] 10:22,23 11:2 13:5 18:3 explosives [4] 9:19,19 12:13 23:2

exposed [4] 16:2,12,22

exposure [3] 14:25 15:1

extension[3] 30:21,24

extended [1] 30:24

extensive [1] 22:20

18:17

15:5

31:2

extent [1] 23:5 -Ffacilitate [1] 3:6 facilities [4] 6:14 8:3 10:6 28:9 facility [2] 4:19 10:12 fact [2] 5:4 9:9 failed rn 18:9 Falls [1] 5:10 familiar [1] 17:18 fan [1] 23:5 favor [1] 29:19 Feasibility [4] 5:1,18 7:9 8:17 February [3] 1:3 3:1 24:6 Federal [2] 4:19 6:14 fell [4] 18:19,20 19:2 26:12 felt [1] 13:23 ferrous [3] 23:2 26:15,20 few [1] 4:11 FFA/CO [2] 8:4 10:9 figure [1] 17:16 finally [1] 9:19 **fired** [1] 18:9 firing [1] 23:5 first [4] 3:14 14:18,22 30:6 five [3] 17:12,24 19:1 focus [1] 23:1 focused rn 25:1 **folks** [5] 7:14,14 9:21 13:1 29:8 **follow** [2] 20:25 21:7 **Following** [1] 3:22 foot[1] 27:3 foregoing [1] 32:10 Forgive [1] 8:10 form [2] 4:4,11 formal [2] 3:24 31:13 format [1] 4:12 forth [2] 6:17 7:23 forties [1] 17:20 forward [1] 31:19 found (5) 8:11 13:6 19:17 27:16,17 **four**[3] 14:7,21 18:19 fragments [2] 3:10 22:7 free [3] 3:19 4:11 31:16 front [1] 5:23 full [1] 9:4 fund [2] 29:5,6 fuse [5] 11:15,17,18,22 12:1 fused [1] 18:8 future [7] 4:16 15:20,25 16:8,15 19:1 31:20

-Ggenerally [1] 16:18 genuine [1] 13:12 Gerry [4] 5:19,19 20:10 31:3 given [1] 18:20 giving [1] 14:16 glad [1] 20:12 Glenn [7] 2:5 5:8,8,22 6:11 28:24 30:1 goals [2] 22:24 23:25 goes [1] 22:18 gone [1] 9:18 good [1] 29:11 gov [1] 4:8 government [2] 6:9 29:3 **grab**[1] 31:16 grabbed [1] 3:13 grants [1] 31:1 graphic [3] 16:7 20:20 20:21 greater [1] 17:3 ground [3] 10:21 12:10 14:2 groundwater [3] 8:9 15:6 16:16 group [12] 3:17 6:13 7:2 7:20,21 8:2 9:5,20 10:7 19:8,19 29:8 grouped [3] 17:10,11,15 groups [3] 6:25 7:1 9:14 guess [4] 6:10 7:7 11:15 31:3 guidance [2] 16:18 18:20 guidelines [1] 6:21 gun 191 9:9.10 13:10 17:15 17:19,23 18:21 19:5 23:11 -Hhalf [3] 9:21 13:8 14:7

hand [1] 32:15 handout [1] 30:19 HANEY [5] 10:16 11:20 11:25 12:3,9 hard [1] 4:5 hazard [3] 16:24 17:3 20:2 health [5] 15:17 16:25 17:9 18:1 19:21 hearing [3] 20:13 32:7 32:11 heavy [1] 13:18 help[1] 20:19 hereby [1] 32:6 Hiaring [8] 2:6,7 5:12 14:14 26:22 28:18 30:10 30:18 high [2] 18:22 19:5 highly [1] 10:3

hole [1] 14:2 holes [1] 12:10 holistic [1] 29:21 home (11 9:17 homegrown [1] 16:15 Honestly [1] 7:21 hours [4] 16:3,13,23 18:18 house [6] 13:14,15 16:10 16:12 18:17,18 **human** [5] 14:5 15:16 17:8 18:1 19:21 human-health [3] 15:14 18:15,25 humans [1] 21:4 hung [1] 14:3

-I-ICDF [3] 28:2,7 30:13

Idaho [13] 1:4,7 3:1 4:22 5:9,10,20 6:17 8:25 20:11 32:1,6,20 idea [2] 12:15 13:5 identified [8] 8:19,21 14:23 15:1,12,15 16:14 identifies [1] 15:23 identify[1] 15:8 II [1] 9:10 illustration [1] 16:7 **immune** (1) 18:12 impact [1] 9:13 impacted [1] 24:17 impacts [2] 17:1 19:13 implied [1] 7:25 implosion [2] 25:18,19 important [4] 21:9 24:3 24:15,25 improving [1] 4:15

incineration [1] 22:1 included [3] 8:3 21:23 24:12 includes [6] 21:8,11,20 22:22 23:14,19 including [1] 29:22 index [2] 16:24 17:3 indicated [1] 25:7 individual [5] 16:10 19:17,18 24:13,14

INEEL [24] 2:6,7 3:5 4:8 4:24 5:6.13 6:20.24 8:10 8:16 9:11,20 11:9 13:11 14:20 15:18 17:18 19:17 24:17 25:3 27:21 28:9 30:5

INEEL-wide [1] 3:12 INEL [3] 8:9,10,13 informal [1] 3:18 ingestion [2] 15:6 16:6 inhabit [1] 19:24 innovative [2] 25:13,22

input [7] 7:17 9:3 21:13 22:11 24:1 28:22 29:14 insects [1] 19:16 installing[1] 27:15 institutional [13] 21:22 21:25 22:2,5,13,17,22 23:10 26:6,8,11,12 27:6 INTEC [1] 27:15 intend [1] 9:7 interest [1] 32:13 Internet [1] 4:7 introduce [2] 5:7 20:10 Introduction (1) 2:3 investigation [7] 4:25 5:14,17 7:9 8:17,21 17:5 involve m 29:12 involved [1] 24:9 involvement [2] 4:15 29:1 involving [1] 3:8 iota [1] 24:12 iron [1] 13:3 items [2] 12:23 13:6

-J-

joint [1] 8:1 **jot**[1] 4:11 judge [1] 12:6

-K-

keep [2] 3:17 27:7 **key**[1] 11:18 **kidney** [1] 18:12 kind [2] 13:7 16:17 kinds [1] 13:25 **known** [1] 18:5

-L-

land [2] 29:22,23 Landfill [1] 28:9 large [5] 8:18 9:10,20 13:24 14:2 last [4] 6:16 10:18 22:2 27:14 launched [1] 18:9 layers [1] 13:24 lead [8] 9:25 18:11 19:4 21:5 23:21 30:2,3,6 least [3] 11:10 13:11 27:4 legally [1] 4:20 less [1] 26:13 levels [1] 19:5 lights [1] 5:23 limit [1] 27:10 limitations [1] 27:1 Limited [1] 22:16 lines [1] 27:15 list (11 6:7

listening [1] 10:17 live [2] 9:12,12 lives [3] 16:11 18:17,18 living [1] 15:24 located r11 17:22 location [1] 17:20 locations [3] 23:7,8 28:10 log [1] 23:7 long-term [2] 20:15 25:2 look [8] 5:2 15:5 21:2,17 22:16 29:24,25 31:18 looked [1] 24:11 looking [1] 11:17 loose [3] 11:2 12:13,19 low [1] 24:18 lumps [2] 22:8,9

-M-

mail [1] 6:9 mailed [1] 7:14 main [2] 11:16 16:14 major [2] 14:21 28:22 must [3] 14:23,25 17:9 mammals [1] 19:15 manageable [1] 7:11 Management [2] 5:6 28:17 manager [2] 5:13 6:12 managers [1] 31:17 mandates [1] 4:23 manufacturing [1] 27:10 map [1] 17:18 March [3] 30:25 32:16 marriage [2] 7:20,22 material [2] 10:24 11:3 materials [1] 9:14 may [3] 6:6 15:2 16:21 mean [2] 13:23 26:6 meaning [1] 16:21 means [4] 4:14 10:17 19:2 28:8 measures [1] 16:24 mechanically [1] 23:20 meet [1] 28:1 meeting [7] 1:2 3:6,24 4:2,13 31:15,21 meetings [2] 4:16 31:20 MEMBER [11] 25:11 25:21 26:5 27:6,18,22 28:6,13,21 30:2,15 members [11 29:7] mentioned [8] 6:3 17:4 19:4 25:12 28:10,21 30:1 31:7 metal [4] 13:18 23:2 noncarcinogenic [1] 26:16,20 18:25 miles [1] 24:20 none [2] 2:9 20:6 milestones [1] 6:22 normal [11 15:4

military [5] 9:19 17:14 18:3,4,7 million [2] 16:20 25:9 mine [2] 11:16 12:2 mines [2] 11:11,12 minimal [1] 25:7 missed [1] 6:3 **mode** [1] 6:6 model [1] 15:8 modifying [2] 21:9,10 money [1] 9:23 monitoring [2] 25:1 26:23 **month** (11 6:16 most [4] 15:22 18:3 26:14 26:16 mousetrap [1] 26:2 move [1] 14:12 MS [6] 14:14 26:22 28:18 30:10,12,18 much-more [1] 7:11 multiple [2] 13:24 14:8 munitions [1] 18:8

-N-

N [11 2:1 name [4] 6:11 8:11,12 31:10 named [1] 32:8 names [1] 28:15 Nancy [3] 1:5 32:4.19 naval [1] 9:10 near [2] 28:16 31:20 **neatly** [1] 13:6 necessary [1] 19:7 need [1] 6:24 needed [3] 19:3 22:20 26:13 needing [3] 8:19,20,22 neighbor [1] 9:2 neighbors [1] 29:11 Nelson [10] 2:5 5:8,22 6:11 10:17 11:23 12:1,4 12:10 29:2 nervous [1] 18:12 net [1] 12:18 never[1] 11:8 new [3] 8:11,12 22:24 next [13] 8:2 9:5 10:10,19 11:6,10 13:1,10 14:9,12 21:2 23:18 24:15 nine [5] 8:21 17:7,10,24 25:6 no-vacancy [1] 14:2 nominated [11 14:17

normally [1] 14:16 Notary [2] 32:5.19 note [3] 21:10 24:3,16 **now** [7] 7:2 16:24 19:10 22:18 24:4 27:11 31:6 -0objectives [1] 21:1 observe (1) 22:21 occupational [1] 16:1 occurred [11 12:12 October [11 24:7 off [8] 5:22 17:21 22:1 23:22 28:9,9,13 30:11

off-site [2] 21:24 22:1 Office [1] 5:9 official [2] 2:9 31:5 officially [1] 4:1 often [2] 7:3 8:13 on-site [4] 21:21 26:7 27:18,20 once [4] 11:11 13:6,11 30:3 one [25] 6:2 7:18 8:6,6 10:13 12:18 15:17,24 16:2 16:11.21 18:20 22:24 23:6 24:15 25:16 27:9,25 28:2 28:16,17 29:18 30:7 31:16

31:19 ones [1] 12:6 open [1] 10:21 open-air[1] 25:14 operable [13] 1:1 3:8 5:11,13 7:3,4 8:5,5,8,14 9:15 15:15 17:4 Operations [1] 5:9 opportunity [2] 13:9 21:13

opposite [1] 12:5 options [1] 27:25 Order [2] 4:20 6:14 ordnance [19] 3:9 9:17 12:19 14:8 18:7 19:9 20:8 21:6 22:14,25 23:8 24:19

26:15,17,21 27:12,13 28:11.12 ordnances [1] 17:14 Oregon [2] 28:16.18 original [1] 30:23

OU [3] 15:9 19:15 20:16 outside [1] 6:5 overall [1] 7:5 overview [2] 2:6 5:14

-P-

PAGE [1] 2:2 pages [1] 7:7 paper [1] 13:12 part [5] 5:17 10:7 24:3 25:2 26:1

past [11 27:2 pathways [7] 14:25 15:1 | presenter [1] 3:19 15:4,12 16:5,8,14 pay [1] 6:4

peak [1] 11:8 pending [1] 22:10 people [6] 4:6 6:8 10:2 20:16 24:11 27:7 per[1] 10:3

perc [1] 27:16 percent [2] 19:25 26:14 percentage [2] 24:16,22 performance [1] 11:8

performed [4] 15:16,21 19:11,14 perhaps [1] 8:13 period [1] 30:24

person [1] 16:22 phase [1] 8:18 picture [1] 11:21

pictures [1] 26:19 piece [1] 13:3 pieces [2] 12:13.19

place [4] 13:21 14:1 28:18 32:8

placed [1] 12:14 plan [10] 1:1 3:4,8,11 4:5 7:17 24:6 25:3,20 31:6

planned [1] 22:19 plans [1] 4:18

plant [3] 15:9,10 19:23 plants [3] 19:13,16 20:3

plate [2] 11:14 12:6 plates [1] 12:5

play [2] 5:15 6:19 pleasing [1] 20:21

plus [1] 14:4 point [7] 7:6,13,16 8:6

21:10 29:9 30:21 pointed [1] 24:8

policy [1] 31:1 populations [1] 25:7

portion[3] 10:11 14:15 17.19

portions [1] 4:2 pose [2] 20:9 25:6

posed [1] 17:25 poses [1] 17:8 possible [1] 19:13

possibly [1] 8:19 potential [4] 15:23 16:21 16:24 25:5

powerful [1] 18:5 practicing [1] 13:15 prearranged [2] 7:20,22 predominantly [1] 9:24

preferred [5] 21:19 22:5 23:13,18 25:8

presentation [6] 2:4

3:15,19,20 4:13 5:25 presenters [1] 5:8 pressure [3] 11:14 12:5 12:6 prettier (1) 14:11

pretty (1) 20:14 previous [1] 17:7 previously [1] 17:4 Primacord in 13:4 primarily [1] 11:4

primary [3] 11:13,15 16:5

primed [1] 18:8 probable (1) 23:7 **problems** [1] 9:6

process [7] 6:19 7:6 14:19,22 17:6 19:22 20:23

produce [1] 16:15 program [3] 3:5 5:6 25:3

Progress [1] 5:5 project [7] 2:6 4:4 5:1,10 5:11,13 31:17

proposal [1] 25:17 proposals [1] 26:2

proposed [7] 1:1 3:7,11 4:5,18 25:20 31:5

proposing [2] 21:12 30:12

Protection [2] 4:22 6:18 provide [2] 5:14 21:13 prowling [1] 14:4

public (10) 1:2 4:15.16 7:16 20:13 22:11 24:1 26:1 32:5,19

purpose [1] 18:10 put [1] 13:12

-0-

Q&A [1] 3:22 quality [3] 4:13,23 5:20 quantified [1] 29:23

quantitative-risk-assessment [1] 29:20

question-and-answer [1] 3:16

questions [7] 3:18,21 20:18 25:10 30:14 31:2 31:16

quickly [1] 30:16 quite [5] 11:8 12:14 24:9 24:9,18

quotients [1] 20:2

-R-

railcar [2] 12:15 27:5 range [12] 10:2 13:10 17:15,19,23 18:20,21 19:2 19:5 20:7 23:6,11 ranges [1] 23:6

rapidly [1] 23:1 rather [2] 9:20 25:14 ratio (11 20:3 RDF_[1] 17:17 RDX [12] 3:10 11:4 17:12 17:22 18:4 21:5,15 22:7,8 22:9 25:11 26:20 reactor [4] 8:3 10:6,13 13:22

reading [1] 5:2 real [11 5:1 really [2] 10:7,13 rear [1] 8:18

receipt [1] 22:11 recently [1] 25:17

receptors [6] 8:16 15:8 15:13 20:8,9 21:4

record [7] 3:25 14:6 31:5 31:8.9,11 32:11

recording [2] 2:9 4:2 recycling [1] 23:22 reduce [1] 21:4

reduced (11 32:9 reference [1] 20:4

referred (1) 6:13 regulations [1] 16:18

relations (1) 3:4 relationship [1] 17:16

relatively [1] 3:17 release [1] 25:5

remaining [1] 17:14 remedial [9] 4:25 5:17 5:21 7:9 8:17.20.20 21:14 24:7

remedial-action [1] 20:25

remediated [1] 17:9 remediating [1] 6:20 remediation [6] 3:9 6:25

8:22 17:2,11 23:25 remember [2] 18:16

28:15 removal [10] 14:8 21:20

21:23,25 22:3,22 23:14 23:15 27:2.13

removals [1] 27:4 remove [2] 23:21 30:3 removing [1] 22:8

report [1] 5:5 reporter [3] 4:1 31:7 32:4

Reporting [1] 1:5 represent [1] 19:19

reproduced [11 9:4 reptiles [1] 19:16 request [2] 30:25 31:2

requested [1] 30:21 required [4] 21:17 22:16

23:10 24:24 resident [5] 15:24 16:15

18:16.16 19:1

residential [2] 15:21

residents [1] 17:1 residing [1] 27:8 respect [1] 29:4

Responsiveness [1] 26:4

rested [1] 11:14 Restoration in 3:5 restrictions [1] 26:9 result [2] 21:15 27:9 resulted [2] 21:16 22:14

results [6] 3:11 16:13 18:14,24 20:5 22:6

return [1] 23:16 returned [3] 23:17,23,24

returning [1] 22:4 revegetate [3] 22:12 23:9

24:2 review [2] 29:12,13 reviewing [1] 6:21

revisit [1] 3:21 RI/FS [4] 8:23 28:2,5 30.1

rid (1) 12:16

risk [30] 2:7 3:12 5:16 8:15 15:4.10.11.17.17.19 15:23 16:10.20 17:8 18:1 18:2,19 19:2,6,8,11 20:9 20:14,23 21:4 24:8,13,25 25:6,7

risk-assessment [7] 5:15 14:15,19,22 15:16

17:6 24:11 risks [1] 19:15

Robin [1] 30:8 rocks [1] 13:24 ROD [2] 14:6 24:5

role [1] 28:23 room [1] 4:18

roughly [1] 24:20 rounds [3] 9:11,12 10:3

routes [1] 15:2 row [2] 13:2,3 **rules** [1] 6:17

rusted [1] 10:21

-S-

sampled [1] 23:22 saw [1] 26:19 says [2] 14:3 23:15 scattered [1] 26:18 scenario [11] 15:14,17 15:19,20,21,22,23 16:1,9 16:9 21:18 scenarios [1] 15:16 schedule [2] 24:4,4 scheduled in 31:12 Schwartz [3] 1:5 32:4

32:19

scope [1] 24:5 screening [2] 19:22 23:20 seal [11 32:15 second [1] 12:21 section [11 14:13 sections [1] 5:3 see [5] 5:24 10:24 19:1 20:6 30:16 seeing [1] 31:19 select [1] 23:3 send (11 31:11 sensitive [2] 17:1 29:16 sent [2] 23:21 30:10 September [1] 24:6 session [3] 3:16,22,24 set [1] 7:22 sets [1] 6:16 several [2] 10:13 15:15 severe [1] 18:11 sheet [2] 6:4.7 sheets [1] 5:4 **shelf** [11 8:24 shell [2] 10:20 27:16 shells [1] 13:3 **ships** [1] 9:11 shoot [2] 13:13 17:20 shooting [2] 10:1,2 short [2] 3:23 5:1 shorthand [1] 32:8 Shoshone-Bannock [3] 9:1 28:22 29:5 show-and-tell[1] 10:11 showed [1] 11:20 **shown** [1] 17:6 shows [3] 14:21 17:16,19 **shut**[1] 8:4 sides [1] 12:5 sign [2] 6:6 14:3 sign-up [2] 6:4,6 signage [1] 26:11 signed [1] 31:12 significant [1] 8:14 signs [2] 14:4 26:10 **similar**[1] 12:5 Simpson [4] 2:3 3:3,3 30:23 single [1] 29:9 site [12] 4:8,24 19:7 22:1 22:10 23:17 24:1,12,19 28:5,9 30:11 site-specific [1] 23:3 sites [23] 8:19 14:7,8 15:14,24 16:3,11 17:5,7 17:10.13,17,22,24 18:19 19:1,11,15 20:7 23:12 25:6,6 28:13 sitting [2] 11:22 12:12 **skill**[1] 32:12

skin [3] 15:5.6 16:16 **skip** [1] 31:4 slice (11 13:7 slide [24] 7:19 8:2 9:5 10:10,19 11:6,7,10 13:1 13:10 14:9,9,21 16:17 18:14.24 20:5 21:2,2 23:18 24:15 30:15,17,19 slides [2] 14:12 17:7 small [5] 3:17 17:19 18:23 19:6 24:22 smaller [2] 11:20 23:11 smarter [1] 14:11 soda (11 13:15 soil [17] 9:7 10:25 15:6 16:6 17:22 19:5 21:21,24 22:2,3,4,7 23:16 27:23 28:6 30:2.3 soils 191 3:10 13:25 21:20 23:20,20,22 28:11,12 30:4 solicited rr 9:3 soliciting [1] 7:16 solve [11 9:7 sometimes [1] 8:10 somewhere [1] 14:3 sort [2] 10:1 13:4 south [13 9:2 speak [2] 6:19 31:10 species [4] 19:17,18,19 specific [2] 20:18 24:10 spent[1] 9:23 square [1] 24:20 squirrel [1] 14:1 Ss (11 32:1 stabilization[1] 30:4 stabilized [1] 30:11 stained [1] 10:25 start [1] 5:22 state [7] 5:20 6:17 8:25 20:11 32:1,5,20 STATEMENTS [1] 2.9 status [1] 5:5 stealth [1] 6:6 stem [1] 9:8 stewardship [1] 25:2 **STF** [3] 17:15 18:21 19:5 stick [1] 31:14 still (1) 21:24 stop [1] 3:19 straight [1] 19:7 Street [1] 1:6 strip [1] 13:7 strive [3] 29:11,12,13 study [4] 5:1,18 7:9 19:18 stuff rn 6:8 subdivision [2] 7:2,5

substance [1] 11:16 successfully [1] 30:4 such [4] 3:16 14:23 17:2 18:22 suggested [2] 28:19.20 suggestions [1] 25:25 summary [4] 5:21 25:5 26:4 29:25 summer [1] 25:4 supposed [1] 11:4 surface [2] 8:7 27:4 surrounding nr 10:25 survey [4] 22:6,19 23:4 27:13 sympathetic [1] 26:17 sympathetically [1] 13:8 system[1] 18:12 systems [1] 18:13 -T-

table [2] 4:6 8:19 takes [1] 16:1 target [1] 13:13 technologies [5] 22:25 22:25 26:15,16 27:1 technology [3] 23:4 25:14.19 ten [1] 6:25 tend [1] 23:1 tenth [1] 6:15 terms [11 26:9 terrorist [1] 13:12 test [2] 25:17 26:18 tested [2] 9:9,11 testimony [1] 11:24 testing [1] 17:14 thank rsi 5:23 12:8 20:12 25:10 31:18 Thanks [1] 31:3 thereafter [1] 32:9 therein [1] 32:8 third [1] 9:20 thought [2] 5:25 26:9 thousands [2] 6:7 7:14 three 181 9:6.8.14 11:13 11:18 21:1 22:14 23:12 three-dozen-page-long [1] 7:11 threshold-balancing [1] 21:8 through [3] 14:12 19:22 30:16 THURSDAY [1] 3:1

times [1] 10:14

TNT [15] 3:10 11:4 17:12

17:16,21 18:2,6,7 21:5,15

22:7,8,9 25:12 26:19

today [2] 11:12 15:18

Tom [2] 10:15 11:19

tonight [7] 3:7.14 4:1 5:15,23 7:15 8:7 tonight's [1] 3:6 tons [2] 13:17,17 too [1] 29:14 top [2] 11:14,22 toward [1] 20:22 towards [1] 17:21 toxicity (11 20:4) trained 131 9:24 10:4 13:11 training (11 9:23 transcript[1] 32:10 treated [1] 23:17 treatment [4] 21:21.23 23:14,16 tribe [3] 28:22 29:5,7 tribes 121 9:1 29:8 true [1] 32:10 truly [1] 12:22 try [2] 6:4 23:4 trying [2] 20:22 21:3 tune [1] 29:5 turned (1) 16:5 two [10] 7:7 8:3,5 9:7 10:6 11:18 12:4 24:10 28:4,10 two-risk [1] 20:16 two-volume [2] 8:18,23 type [3] 13:18,19 32:9 types [4] 9:6,8 12:4 17:12 ~[J-

typically [1] 26:9 unacceptable [2] 17:8 unattractive m 14:1 uncertainties [1] 24:23 under [11] 8:8,9 14:19,24 20:15 21:3,7,18 26:12 29:21,25 underneath[1] 11:17 understand [4] 7:25 10:12 12:25 20:22 undertaken [1] 27:12 unexploded [7] 3:9 9:17 12:19 18:7 19:9 20:8 21:5 **unit** [11] 1:1 3:8 5:11,13 7:3,4 8:8,15 9:15 15:15 17:5 **units** [1] 8:6 **unless** [1] 6:5 up [10] 3:18 6:9,20 7:8 11:22 13:12 14:7 19:3 25:13 26:24 used [9] 13:15 15:4,10 16:18 18:3,4 19:22 20:23 29:20 using [2] 15:12 17:6

usually [2] 15:22 16:19

UXO [1] 18:2

-Vvalue (1) 20:4 values [2] 29:4,15 **VANHORN** [11 30:12 variety [1] 10:4 various [6] 6:22,22 12:13 12:20,24 29:7 varying [1] 13:13 verbatim [1] 31:8 via [1] 4:7 view [2] 10:11 29:21 visiting [1] 4:7 visual [3] 9:16 22:6 29:22 volumes (11 7:8 -W-**WAG** [12] 6:12 7:3,4,5 7:24 8:1,1,5,8 10:5 20:15 24:14 **waiting**[1] 14:10 War [1] 9:10 22:10 28:16 28:1,3 water [1] 15:7

waste [11] 6:12,25 7:2,20 7:21 8:2 9:5 10:7 22:10 waste-acceptance [2] waste-water[1] 27:15 ways [1] 4:3 weapons [1] 10:4 weathered rn 11:1 Web [1] 4:8 welcome [3] 3:3 7:15 12:9 whole [2] 17:18 24:19 **wide** m 10:4 Winter [17] 5:19 20:10 20:12 25:16,24 26:8,25 27:9,20,24 28:15,20,24 29:18 30:6,14,20 wish [2] 6:6 7:15 within [4] 17:22,23 20:7 27:20 WITNESS [1] 32:15 worked [2] 12:17 16:4

worked [2] 12:17 16:4 worker [4] 15:18,19 16:2 16:4 World [1] 9:10 worthwhile [1] 30:20 written [2] 8:5 10:9

-X-X_[1] 2:1

year [7] 10:3 16:4,13 24:5 27:14 29:6 31:12 years [9] 11:12 15:20,25

submit [2] 4:6 25:24

subsequently [1] 8:21

yet - yet February 7, 2002, B	nise Idaho	CondenseIt! TM		Operable Unit 10-04
16.5 10 12 22 19.17 19	Uisc, Idano	I	T	T
16:5,10,12,23 18:17,18	}			
yet [1] 27:25				
Ĭ			}	Į.
j	ļ			
1			}	
ł.				
				'
[
i				
1				
1				
J				
i			}	
		:		
ł				
1				
				j
j				

CondenseIt! TM